



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/679,668    10/05/00    CROSBIE

G    200-1136

RANDY W. TUNG  
TUNG & ASSOCIATES  
SUITE 120  
838 WEST LONG LAKE ROAD  
BLOOMFIELD HILLS MI 48302

MM71/0914

EXAMINER

MACK, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2855

DATE MAILED:

09/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/679,668

Applicant(s)

CROSBIE ET AL.

Examiner

Corey D. Mack

Art Unit

2855

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 October 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 05 October 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Information Disclosure Statement*

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Information Disclosure Statement received on 5 October 2000.

### *Drawings*

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the reference resistor formed in a spiral configuration must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 10 recite the limitation "said gaseous flow" in lines 5 and 8 of each claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

6. Claims 1, 6, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Yamakawa et al. (US 6,134,960). Yamakawa et al. (US 6,134,960) disclose in Fig. 1-14 a gaseous flow sensor 17 comprising a substrate 1 formed of an electrically insulating material (silicon) (Claims 1, 10, 11, 16 and 17); a reference resistor 6a, 6b formed on the substrate and disposed in a gaseous flow at an ambient temperature without heating (Claim 1, 10, 11 and 17); a flow-sensing resistor 4, 5 formed on the substrate and disposed in the gaseous flow heated to a temperature higher than the ambient temperature, wherein the reference resistor and the flow-sensing resistor are formed of a non-platinum resistive material (The Examiner notes that the reference discloses that the flow-sensing resistors are made of heat-sensitive material, such as platinum. Platinum is only given as an example and other heat-sensitive materials may be used) (column 8, lines 31-43) (Claims 1, 10, 11 and 17); an electrical circuit in electrical communication 13a-h and 14a-h with the reference resistor and the flow-sensing resistor (See Fig. 5) (Claims 1, 10, 11 and 17); the reference resistor is formed in a serpentine configuration (Claims 6 and 13); reference resistor is formed in a serpentine configuration having vertical portions connected by horizontal portions with an aspect ratio of length/width of the resistor being at least 2 (Claims 7 and 14); the electrical circuit maintains a target temperature differential between the reference resistor and the flow-sensing resistor by controlling an electrical current flowing to the flow-sensing resistor (column 11, lines 46-61) (Claim 8); and, printing the flow-sensing resistor to a thickness between about 4 micrometers and about 50 micrometers (column 8, lines 44-53) (Claim 20).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 11, 15, 18, 19, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawai et al. (US 5,965,811) in view of Yamakawa et al. (US 6,134,960). Yamakawa et al. (US 6,134,960) disclose the invention as claimed, except they do not disclose an oxide composition of Pb, Ru, Si and Bi (known); a reference resistor has an electrical resistance at least 15 times the electrical resistance of the flow-sensing resistor design choice); reference resistor and the flow-sensing resistor each has a thickness between about 2 micrometers and about 30 micrometers; reference resistor and the flow-sensing resistor each has a thickness preferably between about 5 micrometers and about 20 micrometers; the reference resistor is formed in a spiral configuration (known); printing the reference resistor to a thickness between about 4 micrometer and about 50 micrometers; forming the reference resistor and the flow-sensing resistor in the same printing process; nor, do they explicitly disclose firing the reference resistor and the flow-sensing resistor after the printing step (known).

Kawai et al. (US 5,965,811) disclose in Fig. 1-25 a reference resistor 7 and the flow-sensing resistor 5, 6 each has a thickness between about 2 micrometers and about 30 micrometers and between about 5 micrometers and about 20 micrometers (column 6, lines 9-12) (Claims 4, 5, 15 and 20); and, forming the reference resistor 7 and the flow-sensing resistor 5, 6 in the same process (column 6, lines 1-23) (Claim 18). Kawai et al. (US 5,965,811) does not disclose an

Art Unit: 2855

oxide composition of Pb, Ru, Si and Bi (Claim 2); reference resistor having a resistance of 15 times that of the flow-sensing resistor (Claims 3, 11 and 19); the reference resistor is formed in a spiral configuration (Claim 9); and firing the reference resistor and the flow-sensing resistor after the printing step (Claim 21). However, these limitations are known design choices within the knowledge of one skilled in the art. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include in Yamakawa et al. (US 6,134,960) the teachings of Kawai et al. (US 5,965,811) for the purpose of resistively measuring flow rate.

9. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wienand et al. (US 6,079,265) in view of Yamakawa et al. (US 6,134,960). Yamakawa et al. (US 6,134,960) disclose the invention as claimed, except they do not explicitly disclose an insulating substrate formed of a ceramic material. However, Wienand et al. (US 6,079,265) disclose in Fig. 1-6c a gaseous flow sensor formed on a ceramic substrate 6 (Claim 12). Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include in Yamakawa et al. (US 6,134,960) the teachings of Wienand et al. (US 6,079,265) for the stated purpose of quickly registering mass flow.

### ***Contact Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corey D. Mack whose telephone number is (703) 305-3424. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin R. Fuller can be reached on (703) 308-0079. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 2855

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0956 for regular communications and (703) 308-1782 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3431.

CDM

Corey D. Mack, Esq.  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2855

September 10, 2001



William R. Miller  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2855